

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

Licata & Tyrrell P.C.

66 E. Main Street Marlton, New Jersey

Tel: (856) 810-1515 Fax: (856) 810-1454

August 15, 2003



TO: Examiner Carolyn L. Smith (TC1600)

GROUP: 1631

FAX NUMBER: 703-872-9306

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: DEX-0313

SERIAL NO.: 10/074,475

FILED: February 12, 2002

NUMBER OF PAGES: 8

MESSAGE: Attached please find Amendment Transmittal Letter (in duplicate); response to Office Action dated July 16, 2003; and Certificate of Transmission by Facsimile.

Kathleen A. Tyrrell, Registration No. 38,350

URGENT! PLEASE DELIVER IMMEDIATELY UPON RECEIPT, THANK YOU:

If you have any questions, or did not receive the proper number of pages, or had trouble during transmission, please call 856-810-1515.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential, and intended only for the use of the individual(s) and/or entityties) maned above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are bereby notified that any unauthorized disclosure, copying distribution or taking of any action in reliance on the contents of the telecopied materials is strictly prohibited and review by any individual other than the intended recipient shall not constitute waiver of the attorney client privilege. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone in order to arrange for the return of the materials. Thank you.

CERTIFICATE OF Applicant(s): Salceda et	Docket No. DEX-0331							
Serial No. 10/074,475	Filing Date February 12, 2002	Examiner Smith, Carolyn L.	Group Art Unit 1631					
Invention: Compositions and Methods Relating to Breast Specific Genes and Proteins								
I hereby certify that this Reply to Restriction Requirement (Identify type of correspondence) is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. 703-872-9306)								
on August 15, 2003 (Date)								
		Kathleen A. Tyrr	-ali					
		(Typed or Printed Name of Person Sig Mathles M. Tyrk (Signatife)	Ming Certificate)					
,								
Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.								
			·					
j. (4)								

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER (Large Entity) Applicant(s): Salceda et al.					Docket No. DEX-0313		
		g Date ry 12, 2002	Examiner Smith, Carolyn I	L.	Group Art Unit 1631		
Invention: Compositions and Methods Relating to Breast Specific Genes and Proteins							
TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.							
The fee has been	calculated and is trans	smitted as shown	below.				
CLAIMS AS AMENDED							
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST #	NUMBER EXTRA CLAIMS PRESENT	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		
TOTAL CLAIMS	19 -	20 =	·	x \$18.			
INDEP. CLAIMS	2 -	3 =	0	x \$84.	00 \$0.00		
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable)							
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT \$0.00							
 No additional fee is required for amendment. □ Please charge Deposit Account No. in the amount of □ A check in the amount of to cover the filing fee is enclosed. ☑ The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. ☑ Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16. ☑ Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17. 							
Dated: August 15, 2003 Kathleen A. Tyrroll, Reg. No. 38,350							
Licata & Tyrrell P.C. 66 East Main Street Marlton, New Jersey 08053 Tel: 856-810-1515 Fax: 856-810-1454 Certify that this document and fee is being on with the U.S. Post first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is add Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Ale 22313-1450. Signature of Passon Mailing Corresponded in the Control of							
cc:			Typed or I	Printed Name of	f Person Mailing Correspondence		

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No.:

DEX-0313

Inventors:

Salceda et al.

Serial No.:

10/074,475

Filing Date:

February 12, 2002

Examiner:

Smith, Carolyn E.

Group Art Unit:

1631

Title:

Compositions and Methods Relating to Breast Specific Genes and Proteins

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this document is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

On August 15, 2003

Kathleen A. Tyrrell, Registration No. 38,350

Commissioner for Patents

Mail Stop

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA

22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Reply to Restriction Requirement

This is a reply to the Restriction Requirement mailed July 16, 2003 setting a one (1) month statutory period for response. Please enter the following remarks into the record.

Remarks begin on page 2.

Attorney Docket No.:

DEX-0313

Inventors:

Salceda et al.

Serial No.:

10/074,475

Filing Date:

February 12, 2002

Page 2

REMARKS

Claims 1-17 are pending in the instant application. Claims 1-17 have been subjected to the following Restriction Requirement:

Group I, claims 1-5 and 7-8, drawn to a nucleic acid, cell, vector, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, as well as subclass 435, subclasses 325 and 320.1;

Group 1I, claims 6, 13 and 15, drawn to methods and kits for determining the presence of a breast specific nucleic acid or polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclasses 6 and 7.1, as well as class 422, subclass 61;

Group III, claim 9, drawn to a method for producing a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1;

Group IV, claims 10-11, drawn to a polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350;

Group V, claim 12, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1;

Group VI, claim 14, drawn to a method for diagnosing and monitoring the presence and metastases of breast cancer in a patient, classified in class 436, subclass 64;

Group VII, claim 16, drawn to a method of treating a patient

Attorney Docket No.:

DEX-0313

Inventors:

Salceda et al.

Serial No.:

10/074,475

Filing Date:

February 12, 2002

Page 3

with breast cancer, classified in class 514, subclass 2; and Group VIII, claim 17, drawn to a vaccine, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

The Examiner suggests that the Groups are distinct. Ιn support of this suggestion, the Examiner suggests that Groups [I, II (hybridization species), III, VI (nucleic acid species), and VIII (nucleic acid species)], [IV, VI (protein species), and VII (protein species)], and [II (antibody-binding species), V, and VII] are independent inventions because they are directed to different chemical entity types regarding the critical limitations therein. Further, while the Examiner has acknowledged the relationship of Groups [I, II (hybridization species), III, VI (nucleic acid species), and VIII (nucleic acid species)], [IV, VI (protein species, and VIII (protein species) | and II(antibody-binding species), V and VII] as being related as product and process of use, the Examiner suggests that these Groups are distinct since the products can be used in different processes. In addition, the Examiner suggests that the searching of these different usages is not overlapping and would create an undue search burden if searched together.

Further, the Examiner suggests that each of these Groups reads on patentably distinct sequences.

Attorney Docket No.:

DEX-0313

Inventors:

Salceda et al.

Serial No.:

10/074,475

Filing Date:

February 12, 2002

Page 4

In addition, Group II, VI and VIII have been subjected to a species election with respect to determination of a nucleic acid versus a protein.

Applicants respectfully traverse this Restriction Requirement.

At the outset, it is respectfully pointed out that the Examiner's basis for the Groups being distinct because they are independent is flawed since MPEP \$ 802.01 makes quite clear that inventions cannot be both independent and distinct.

Further, MPEP \$803 provides two criteria which must be met for a restriction requirement to be proper. The first is that the inventions be independent or distinct. The second is that there would be a serious burden on the Examiner if the restriction is not required. A search of prior art relating to an elected nucleic acid, polypeptide or antibody would also reveal any references teaching uses for the nucleic acid, polypeptide or antibody. Accordingly, Applicants believe that searching of all the claims, at least when limited to elected nucleic acids or polypeptides is overlapping and would not place an undue burden on the Examiner if the Restriction is not made.

Thus, since this Restriction Requirement does not meet both criteria as set forth in MPEP \$ 803 to be proper, reconsideration and withdrawal of this Restriction Requirement is respectfully



Attorney Docket No.: DEX-0313

Inventors:

Salceda et al.

Serial No.:

10/074,475

Filing Date:

February 12, 2002

Page 5

requested.

In addition, with respect to the election of a single sequence, MPEP § 803.04 clearly states that a reasonable number of nucleotide sequences, normally ten sequences, can be claimed in a single application. Accordingly, withdrawal of this sequence election requirement and reconsideration to include a more reasonable number of at least 10 sequences in accordance with MPEP § 803.04 is also respectfully requested.

In an carnest effort to be completely responsive, however, Applicants elect Group 1, claims 1-5 and 7-8, with respect to SEQ ID NO:156 encoding SEQ TD NO: 285, with traverse.

Applicants believe that the foregoing comprises a full and complete response to the Office Action of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathleen A. Hyrreid

Reg. No. 38,350

Date: <u>August 15, 2003</u>

LICATA & TYRRELL P.C. 66 E. Main Street Mariton, New Jersey 08053 (856) 810-1515